27

28

1 2	Nevada Bar No. 8225 rob.phillips@fisherbroyles.com FISHERBROYLES, LLP	
3	5670 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1800 Los Angeles, California 90036	
4	Telephone: (702) 518-1239 Counsel for Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COUR'	
6	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
7	WEBSITE MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS,	Case No.: 2:20-CV
8	LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability	Cuse 110 2.20 C V
9	Company; and KJR MANAGEMENT COMPANY, LLC	
10	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION
11	V.	DISCOVERY AND DEADLINES PE
12	BENJAMIN DAILEDA, an individual residing in Nevada, MELVIN OMAN, an	ON PLAINTIFF MANAGEMNET
13	individual residing in Nevada, DEVAN	APPEAL TO TH
14	HIRST, an individual residing in Nevada, YES WE WILL, INC., a Nevada	APPEALS FOR
15	Corporation, and DOES 1-X,	(FIRST REQUE
16	Defendants.	
17	VEC WE WILL INC. a Navada	
18	YES WE WILL, INC., a Nevada Corporation,	
19	CounterClaimant,	
20	v.	
21	WEBSITE MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS,	
22	LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; and KJR MANAGEMENT	
23	COMPANY, LLC, and ROES 1-X,	
24	Counter-Defendants.	
25	Plaintiffs Website Management System	ns, LLC., and KJR Ma
26		

Case No.: 2:20-CV-00213-JCM-BNW

STIPULATION TO STAY **DISCOVERY AND CASE DEADLINES PENDING A RULING** ON PLAINTIFF WEBSITE MANAGEMNET SYSTEMS, LLC'S APPEAL TO THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 9TH CIRCUIT

(FIRST REQUEST)

ems, LLC., and KJR Management Company, LLC., by and through their counsel of record Rob L. Phillips, Esq., of the law firm Fisher Broyles, LLP., and Defendants Benjamin Daileda et. al., by and through their counsel of record H. Stan Johnson,

Esq., of the law firm of Cohen Johnson Parker Edwards, stipulate as follows.

1. The Current Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order (Document 59) Sets Forth the Following Dates (no trial date has been set):

a. Close of Discovery:

April 21, 2021

b. Deadline to file the Dispositive Motions:

May 21, 2021

c. Deadline to file Joint Pretrial Order:

June 21, 2021

2. Reason for Stipulation to Stay Discovery and Case Deadlines

On May 26, 2020, Plaintiff Website Management Systems, LLC filed an Appeal of this Court's Order denying the issuance of a Preliminary Injunction related to allegations of trade secret misappropriation and breach of non-compete agreements. The matter has been fully briefed and oral argument was held on November 20, 2020 before the Court of Appeals for the 9th Circuit ("9th Circuit"). The ruling by the 9th Circuit has been stayed pending a decision by the Nevada Supreme Court on an issue relevant to the non-compete agreements in this case. The Nevada Supreme Court handed down its decision on December 31, 2020 and the parties have provided the same to the 9th Circuit.

Accordingly, the decision by the Nevada Supreme Court and the 9th Circuit will have a direct bearing on the issues in this case. In other words, some of the issues in this case may be resolved by the 9th Circuit's ruling. The parties thus recognize that discovery issues, including the taking of depositions, will be directly impacted by the 9th Circuit's ruling and therefore to prevent unnecessary legal services and client fees, and any unnecessary use of the Court's resources, the parties agree and propose that the dates and deadlines in the second amended Scheduling Order (Document 59) be stayed until such time as the 9th Circuit has ruled upon Plaintiffs' Appeal. Thereafter, within fifteen (15) days of the 9th Circuit's ruling on the Appeal, the parties will submit

28

1	a third amended Scheduling Order for court review.		
2			
3			
4	DATED this 23rd day of March, 2021.	DATED this 23 rd day of March, 2021.	
5	FISHER BROYLES, LLP	COHEN JOHNSON PARKER	
6	11	EDWARDS	
7	//Rob L. Phillips/		
8	ROB L. PHILLIPS, ESQ.	/H. Stan Johnson/	
	Nevada Bar No. 8225	H. STAN JOHNSON, ESQ.	
9		Nevada Bar No. 00265	
10	Los Angeles, CA 90036	RYAN D. JOHNSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 14724	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Counter- Defendants	375 E. Warm Springs Road, Suite 104	
11	Defendants	Las Vegas, Nevada 89119	
12		Attorneys for Defendants and	
13		Counterclaimants	
131	IT IS ORDERED that ECF No. 67 is GRANTED. A proposed discovery plan and		
	IT IS ORDERED that ECF No. 67 is GRAN	• •	
14	IT IS ORDERED that ECF No. 67 is GRAN scheduling order is due 15 days after the	NTED. A proposed discovery plan and e Ninth Circuit decides the appeal in this	
	IT IS ORDERED that ECF No. 67 is GRAN scheduling order is due 15 days after the	• •	
14	IT IS ORDERED that ECF No. 67 is GRAN scheduling order is due 15 days after the case. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in light of	e Ninth Circuit decides the appeal in this f the discovery stay, Plaintiff's motion to	
14 15	IT IS ORDERED that ECF No. 67 is GRAN scheduling order is due 15 days after the case. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in light of compel at ECF No. 62 is DENIED without	e Ninth Circuit decides the appeal in this f the discovery stay, Plaintiff's motion to t prejudice under the Court's broad	
14 15 16 17	IT IS ORDERED that ECF No. 67 is GRAN scheduling order is due 15 days after the case. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in light of compel at ECF No. 62 is DENIED without discretion to control its docket. After the discovery stay is lifted, if any or all of the discovery stay is lifted.	e Ninth Circuit decides the appeal in this f the discovery stay, Plaintiff's motion to t prejudice under the Court's broad e Ninth Circuit decision is rendered and	
14 15 16 17 18	IT IS ORDERED that ECF No. 67 is GRAN scheduling order is due 15 days after the case. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in light of compel at ECF No. 62 is DENIED without discretion to control its docket. After the the discovery stay is lifted, if any or all of compel are still in dispute, Plaintiff may	e Ninth Circuit decides the appeal in this f the discovery stay, Plaintiff's motion to t prejudice under the Court's broad e Ninth Circuit decision is rendered and of the issues presented in the motion to	
14 15 16 17 18 19	IT IS ORDERED that ECF No. 67 is GRAN scheduling order is due 15 days after the case. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in light of compel at ECF No. 62 is DENIED without discretion to control its docket. After the the discovery stay is lifted, if any or all of compel are still in dispute, Plaintiff may	e Ninth Circuit decides the appeal in this f the discovery stay, Plaintiff's motion to t prejudice under the Court's broad e Ninth Circuit decision is rendered and of the issues presented in the motion to renew its motion.	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	IT IS ORDERED that ECF No. 67 is GRAN scheduling order is due 15 days after the case. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in light of compel at ECF No. 62 is DENIED without discretion to control its docket. After the the discovery stay is lifted, if any or all of compel are still in dispute, Plaintiff may IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the hearing 3/31/2021 is VACATED.	e Ninth Circuit decides the appeal in this f the discovery stay, Plaintiff's motion to t prejudice under the Court's broad e Ninth Circuit decision is rendered and of the issues presented in the motion to renew its motion.	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	IT IS ORDERED that ECF No. 67 is GRAN scheduling order is due 15 days after the case. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in light of compel at ECF No. 62 is DENIED without discretion to control its docket. After the the discovery stay is lifted, if any or all of compel are still in dispute, Plaintiff may IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the hearing 3/31/2021 is VACATED. IT IS SO ORDERED	e Ninth Circuit decides the appeal in this f the discovery stay, Plaintiff's motion to t prejudice under the Court's broad e Ninth Circuit decision is rendered and of the issues presented in the motion to renew its motion.	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	IT IS ORDERED that ECF No. 67 is GRAN scheduling order is due 15 days after the case. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in light of compel at ECF No. 62 is DENIED without discretion to control its docket. After the the discovery stay is lifted, if any or all of compel are still in dispute, Plaintiff may IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the hearing 3/31/2021 is VACATED. IT IS SO ORDERED DATED: 2:48 pm, March 24, 2021	e Ninth Circuit decides the appeal in this f the discovery stay, Plaintiff's motion to t prejudice under the Court's broad e Ninth Circuit decision is rendered and of the issues presented in the motion to renew its motion.	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	IT IS ORDERED that ECF No. 67 is GRAN scheduling order is due 15 days after the case. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in light of compel at ECF No. 62 is DENIED without discretion to control its docket. After the the discovery stay is lifted, if any or all of compel are still in dispute, Plaintiff may IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the hearing 3/31/2021 is VACATED. IT IS SO ORDERED DATED: 2:48 pm, March 24, 2021	e Ninth Circuit decides the appeal in this f the discovery stay, Plaintiff's motion to t prejudice under the Court's broad e Ninth Circuit decision is rendered and of the issues presented in the motion to renew its motion.	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	IT IS ORDERED that ECF No. 67 is GRAN scheduling order is due 15 days after the case. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in light of compel at ECF No. 62 is DENIED without discretion to control its docket. After the the discovery stay is lifted, if any or all of compel are still in dispute, Plaintiff may IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the hearing 3/31/2021 is VACATED. IT IS SO ORDERED DATED: 2:48 pm, March 24, 2021	e Ninth Circuit decides the appeal in this f the discovery stay, Plaintiff's motion to t prejudice under the Court's broad e Ninth Circuit decision is rendered and of the issues presented in the motion to renew its motion.	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	IT IS ORDERED that ECF No. 67 is GRAN scheduling order is due 15 days after the case. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in light of compel at ECF No. 62 is DENIED without discretion to control its docket. After the the discovery stay is lifted, if any or all of compel are still in dispute, Plaintiff may IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the hearing 3/31/2021 is VACATED. IT IS SO ORDERED DATED: 2:48 pm, March 24, 2021	f the discovery stay, Plaintiff's motion to prejudice under the Court's broad Ninth Circuit decision is rendered and of the issues presented in the motion to renew its motion. Ing on Plaintiff's motion to compel on	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	IT IS ORDERED that ECF No. 67 is GRAN scheduling order is due 15 days after the case. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in light of compel at ECF No. 62 is DENIED without discretion to control its docket. After the the discovery stay is lifted, if any or all of compel are still in dispute, Plaintiff may IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the hearing 3/31/2021 is VACATED. IT IS SO ORDERED DATED: 2:48 pm, March 24, 2021 BRENDA WEKSLER UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGI	f the discovery stay, Plaintiff's motion to prejudice under the Court's broad Ninth Circuit decision is rendered and of the issues presented in the motion to renew its motion. Ing on Plaintiff's motion to compel on	